

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

EINHARD KOCH FLA-0012 EXAMINET	6096 R
EXAMINE	R
	-
ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
	ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/308,407	KOCH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Michael C. Miggins	1772

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>29 April 2002</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

		headi	ng or in the proper order.
2.			orief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the aled claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			est one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a ment of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			orief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page ne number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The b	orief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A sing	gle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		ne brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall ogether, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		ne brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall ogether, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The b	orief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The b	orief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Other	(including any explanation in support of the above items):
		under	ge 3 of the brief under the subsection Issues, applicant uses the term anticipated, none of the claims were rejected 35 USC 102 and therefore none of the claims are anticipated, it is respectfully submitted that applicant should change pated" tounpatentable over since all the claims were rejected under 35 USC 103 and not 35 USC 102. Also, in

on page 3 of the brief under the subsection Issues, applicant uses the term anticipated, none of the claims were rejected under 35 USC 102 and therefore none of the claims are anticipated, it is respectfully submitted that applicant should change "anticipated" to --unpatentable over -- since all the claims were rejected under 35 USC 103 and not 35 USC 102. Also, in the arguments, pages 3-6 of the brief, applicant agan uses the term "anticipate" which should be changed to -- unpatentable over -- for the same reasons just discussed. Appropriate correction is required before the case is sent to the board.

Michael C. Miggins '

Examiner Art Unit: 1772